

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/629,005   | 07/29/2003  | Gerome A. Haney      | 10990836-3                 | 7339             |
| 7590 02/25/2004                                      |             |                      | EXAMINER                   |                  |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY                              |             |                      | NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE |                  |
| Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER               |                  |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400                          |             |                      | 3634                       |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004    | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u></u>  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/629,005  | HANEY, GEROME A.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Cultimary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jennifer E. Novosad   | 3634   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju   | ıly 2003.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| , · · ·  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 2-41 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>2-41</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | .П <b>.</b>   | (DTO 442)  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4)  Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07292003</u> . 6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the application filed July 29, 2003 and the amendment filed therewith whereby claim 1 was canceled and claims 10-41 were added.

#### Priority

This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a continuation of Application No. 09/428,306, filed October 27, 1999, now U.S. Patent No. 6,681,942." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-9, 23, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-9 are rendered indefinite since these claims directly or indirectly depend from canceled claim 1 and accordingly the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be properly ascertained because the claimed subject matter is unclear. *Accordingly*, it is noted that these claims have not been examined on the merits at this time.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 23 and 37 are rendered indefinite since it is unclear from the language of the claim how a position "extends" between the two other positions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-15, 17-21, 23, 24-29, 31-35, 37, and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kofstad '337.

Kofstad '337 discloses an assembly comprising a mount adapter (see Figure 3) having a first end (at left side of Figure 3) and a second end (at right side of Figure 3) whereby the rack mount is adjustable (see Figure 4) therebetween; a first mounting flange (70) orthogonally adjacent the first end and having protrusions (74 and 76); a second mounting flange (58) orthogonally adjacent to the second end and having protrusions (62 and 64) whereby the protrusions (74 and 72) extend towards each other and towards the opposite mounting flange, i.e., protrusion 74 extends towards flange 58, and protrusions (76 and 64) extend away from each other and from the respective mounting flange, i.e., protrusion 64 extends away from flange 70; each of the protrusions (76 and 64) engage mounting apertures (see Figure 7); the protrusions (76 and 64) are adapted to be engaged in mounting apertures (106) in a rack (40); and a rack rail (50 - see column 3, line 13) defining an outer channel (54) supported along the adjustable length and an inner channel (48) slidably supported by the outer channel which can thereby support equipment (42); the mounting flanges (70) are adapted to contact the column flanges (28) when

Art Unit: 3634

the rack mount is in a first position, i.e., the front surface of the flange 70 is placed on the front surface of element 28; the protrusions (76) are adapted to contact and slide the column flanges (28) when the rack mount is in a second position; i.e., the end surface of 76 will contact the surface of 28 when placed thereon thereby allowing the protrusions to slide in a direction (right to left) perpendicular to the longitudinal axis (up and down) of the column flanges; and the protrusions (76) are adapted to engage the flange apertures when the rack mount is in a third position, i.e., see Figure 7, so that the protrusions support the rack mount therebetween and the protrusions being aligned therewith the apertures of the column flanges; the second position extends between the first position and the third position. Kofstad '337 is also considered to disclose the structure capable of performing the method steps of claims 38-41 which includes positioning the rack mount assembly to span the column flanges (28) whereby the flanges (28) contact the flanges (70) of the assembly; then sliding the rack mount assembly relative to and on surface of the column flanges (28) so that protrusions (76) on the flanges (70) contact the flanges (28) and then engaging the apertures of the column flanges (28) with the protrusions (76); and adjusting a length of the assembly between the mounting flanges (see Figure 4).

It is noted that the claims are only functionally reciting (through the wherein clauses in lines 8-15 of claim 10 and lines 7-13 of claim 24) that the protrusions and flanges be adapted to be in the first, second, and third positions and thus, although Kofstad '337 does not explicitly state these same positions, Kofstad '337 is considered to be capable of being placed in these positions, thereby meeting the limitations of the claims.

Art Unit: 3634

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kofstad '337 as applied to claims 10-15, 17-21, 23, 24-29, 31-35, 37, and 38-41 above, and further in view of Harrington *et al.* '652.

Kofstad '337 discloses the assembly as advanced above.

The claim differs from Kofstad '337 in requiring the protrusions to include truncated cones.

Harrington et al. '652 teach that it is old in the art to have protrusions that define truncated cones (108 - see Figure 5) that are inserted into apertures.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the assembly of Kofstad '337 with protrusions defining truncated cones, for ease in economy and manufacture while allowing for increased securement of the adapter on the rack and ease in assembly therein.

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Art Unit: 3634

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 10, 16, 11-15, and 18-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have oriented the mounting flanges such that they would be perpendicular to the axis and that the flanges would extend toward each other, thereby increasing ease in assembly since the rack mount could be assembled to the column flanges with increased securement.

It is noted that the subject matter of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,942 is equivalent to the subject matter of the claimed combination of claims 10 and 16 of the instant application.

Claims 24, 30, 25-29, and 32-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-22, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have oriented the mounting flanges such that they would be perpendicular to the axis and that the flanges would extend toward each other, thereby increasing

Art Unit: 3634

ease in assembly since the rack mount could be assembled to the column flanges with increased

securement.

It is noted that the subject matter of claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,942 is equivalent

to the subject matter of the claimed combination of claims 24 and 30 of the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is (703)-305-2872.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)-308-2686. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

Jennifer E. Novosad/jen February 19, 2004